not fully authenticated by the Tribunal was received by the Government on 17th September 1956, but it has been returned to the Tribunal on 21st September 1956 for resubmission after rectifying these defects.

(*c*) Before the end of October 1956.

SRI K. P. GOPALAN : May I know the main recommendations in the award?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : I have not looked into the report and I have no idea of the contents of that report, although most curiously I had so many unofficial versions when I was in Valparai and Coimbatore.

SRI S. RAMALINGAM : டைப் காபியில் நிறைய தவறுகள் இருக்கின்றன என்று அதை திருப்பி அனுப்பியதாக கனம் மந்திரி சொன்னார். அநேக இடங்களில் தஸ்தாவேஜுகளுக்கு ஜனங்கள் சர்டிபைட் காப்பி வாங்குவதுபோல் இதற்கும் சர்டிபைட் காபி வாங்குவதற்கு சர்க்கார் ஏற்பாடு செய்வார்களா ?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : அவார்டுகளை சர்க்காருக்கு அனுப்பியவுடன் அதை சரி பார்த்து அதன்பின் அதை அச்சடித்து பப்ளிஷ் செய்யவேண்டும். அப்படி அச்சடிக்க அனுப்புவதற்கு முன்னதாக முற்றிலும் ஒரு முறை அவார்டு சரியாக இருக்கிறதா என்று பார்க்கவேண்டும். ஆனால் இதில் அப்படி பார்க்க முடியவில்லை. டைப் காபியில் நிறைய தவறுகள் இருந்ததாலும் திருத்தப்பட்ட இடங்களில் கையெழுத்துப் போடாமல் இருந்ததாலும் அதை திருப்பி அனுப்பிவிட்டோம். அது மட்டுமல்ல. அந்த ட்ரிபுனல் ஜட்ஜினுடைய பதவிக்காலம் முடியப்போவதாக இருந்ததால் அதற்குள் அவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் வாங்கவேண்டியதாயிற்று. இப்பொழுது அவருடைய பதவி காலம் அக்டோபர் மாதம் வரையில் நீடிக்கப்பட்டிருக்கிறது. அதற்குள் அவர் இந்த அவார்டை சரிபார்த்து தவறுகள் இல்லாமல் பார்த்து கையெழுத்துப்போட்டு திருப்பி அனுப்பி வைக்கவேண்டும் என்றும் சொல்லப்பட்டிருக்கிறது. அதனால்தான் அந்த அவார்டை திருப்பி அனுப்பிக்கவேண்டிய நிலைமை ஏற்பட்டது.

Reversion of Sub-Registrars

* 8 (S.N.) *Q.*—SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR : Will the Hon. the Minister for Religious Endowments and Transport be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that 56 Sub-Registrars of the State have been reverted recently and, if so, the reasons therefor; and

(*b*) the number among them from Malabar?

THE HON. SRI B. PARAMESWARAN : (*a*) A number of persons appointed as Sub-Registrars in April 1956 and subsequently had to be reverted in August 1956 and later as the vacancies in which these persons were appointed were of short duration and as they had to give place to some persons recruited for appointment as Reserve Sub-Registrars.

(*b*) Nine.

29th September 1956]

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR: How many of the Reverted Sub-Registrars are now working as clerks in Malabar?

THE HON. SRI B. PARAMESWARAN: I do not have the exact number. But nothing wrong has been done to them. Some of them who did not know Tamil have been retained there and others are posted to Malabar just to give room for Reserve Sub-Registrars whom we have to provide for.

SRI A. M. RATHNASWAMY: May I know whether the Hon. Minister has figures about the Sub-Registrars that were removed or dismissed from service?

THE HON. SRI B. PARAMESWARAN: It does not arise from this question.

DR. K. B. MENON: Will the reversion of Sub-Registrars as clerks affect their future?

THE HON. SRI B. PARAMESWARAN: No, Sir. This is only an administrative routine. I do not think any overlooking of seniority or anything of that sort is involved in this.

SRI K. KUNHI MOHAMED SHAFEE: May I know whether the Government have received any representations from the reverted Sub-Registrars of the Malabar district, and, if they have received, what decision they have taken on them?

THE HON. SRI B. PARAMESWARAN: Representations received were examined by the Government and the Government saw that their action was quite justified.

SRI T. C. NARAYANAN NAMBIAR: In view of the reorganization of the State on 1st November 1956, will the Government be kind enough to defer the implementation of the order as far as Malabar is concerned?

THE HON. SRI B. PARAMESWARAN: No, Sir, I do not think it can be done in view of the reorganization. In view of the fact that a provisional list of personnel proposed for allocation to the new State has already been drawn up, we cannot go astray from it.

SRI K. P. GOPALAN: May I know from the Hon. Minister the main reasons which prompted the Government to take this drastic step of reversion on the eve of the reorganization of this State?

THE HON. SRI B. PARAMESWARAN: At the end of April 1956, the Inspector-General of Registration ordered the appointment of fifty persons as Sub-Registrars from the list of candidates approved for appointment as Sub-Registrars drawn up by him, and posted them to various stations. A majority of the vacancies to which they were posted were of short duration. Subsequently from

time to time, 13 more candidates from the approved list were appointed as Sub-Registrars. All these persons were kept on duty continuously till 1st August 1956. By this time, six Reserve Sub-Registrars had qualified themselves for appointment as Sub-Registrars by passing the necessary tests, the results of which were published in the *Fort St. George Gazette* in July 1956. Five of the six Reserve Sub-Registrars were from Malabar and these Reserve Sub-Registrars had, therefore, to be posted as Sub-Registrars in preference to the acting Sub-Registrars recently promoted.

9–10 a.m. SRI K. P. GOPALAN : Is it justifiable on the part of the Government not to take back the experienced people but oust them or revert them and take people who are totally new to the department?

THE HON. SRI B. PARAMESWARAN : Reserve Sub-Registrars are qualified and, therefore, they have to be appointed in the place of acting Sub-Registrars.

Police firing at Naduvattam

* 273 *Q*.—SRI K. KUNHI MOHAMED SHAFEE : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to lay on the table of the House the report submitted by Sri W. R. S. Satthianadhan, I.C.S., on his enquiry about the police firing at Naduvattam near Kozhikode on the 28th March 1954?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : A copy of the report is placed on the table of the House.

SRI K. KUNHI MOHAMED SHAFEE : Sir, may I know whether the Government have examined the various observations made by this enquiry officer and arrived at any decision as regards the necessary steps to be taken against the officers who, it seems, have failed in their duty to act in time?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Yes, Sir. The report was examined and the Government have arrived at decisions. Further instructions wherever necessary have been issued; and wherever the officers had to be warned against their failure to take the necessary steps at the earliest moment possible, they have also been warned.

SRI P. K. GOPALAKRISHNAN : May I know, Sir, what is the particular instruction issued by the Government in order to prevent further clashes like this?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : We have instructed that action under section 30, sub-section (4), of the Police Act of 1861 (which was discussed on the floor of the House) should be applied in all these cases and any likely clashes should be averted.

SRI M. NARAYANA KURUP : Sir, when this question was first raised on the floor of this House, the Hon. the Finance Minister himself read a statement in the Assembly to the effect that the